l	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FILED12 JUN 17 10:430SDC-ORP			
2	DISTRICT OF OREGON			
3	PORTLAND DIVISION			
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	EVAN PAUL LUX Civil Case No. 6:17cv00924-JR			
9	PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS			
10	COMPLAINT			
11	Plaintiff(s),			
12	v.			
13				
14	CITY OF KEIZER OREGON; KEIZER OREGON			
15	POLICE DEPARTMENT; DETECTIVE CHRISTOPHER NELSON;			
16	JOHN TRONCOSO; ARSEN AVETISYAN; BRANDY LYNN ROEDER			
17				
18	Defendant(s).			
19	I.			
20	A. Have you brought any other action or appeal in a court of the United States while a prisoner?			
21	Yes No_X			
22	II.			
	A. Place of confinement: <u>Snake River Correctional Institute (SRCI)</u>			
	B. Is there a prisoner grievance procedure in this institution?			
25	Yes No_ <u>X</u>			
	C. Have you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to this complaint?			
27	If your answer is no, explain why not:			
28	Complaint is not again Department of Corrections (SRCI)			
29				
30	D. Is the grievance process completed?			
4	NOO BIO			

1		III. PARTIES
2	(In Ite	m A below, place your name in the first blank and place your present address in the
3	second blank.	Do the same for additional plaintiffs, if any.)
4	A.	Name of plaintiff: Evan Paul Lux
5		Security Identification No.: 16081773
6		Address: 777 Stanton Blvd.
7		Ontario, OR 97914
8	(In ite	m B, place the full name of each defendant, his/her official position, and his/her place of
9	employment.)	
10	В.	Defendant CHIRISTOPHER NELSON is employed as Police Detective at Keizer
11		Oregon Police Department.
12		Defendant: <u>JOHN TRONCOSO</u> is employed as Police Lieutenant at <u>Keizer</u>
13		Oregon Police Department.
14		Defendant ARSEN AVETISYAN is employed as Police Lieutenant at Keizer
15		Oregon Police Department.
16		Defendant BRANDY LYNN ROEDER is employed as Co-Owner at Glamour Sash
17		LLC.
18		Defendant KEIZER POLICE DEPARTMENT is employed as POLICE DEPARTMENT
19		in THE CITY OF KEIZER, OREGON 97301
20		Oregon Police Department.
21		Defendant CITY OF KEIZER, OREGON
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		

1	IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIM
2	Claim I
3	State what right under the constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States has been violated.
4	Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution (unlawful search and seizure); 18 U.S.C.S. §2511;
5	(Unlawful interception of electronic communications under) ORS 133.724(1) and ORS 165.540(1)(d)
6	Article 1, Sec. 9 of the Oregon Constitution (unlawful search and seizure). 14th Amendment right to
7	Due Process; Plaintiff's right to be free from unlawful search and seizure was violated by the listed
8	defendants.
9	
10	Supporting Facts:
11	June 18, 2015, Brandy Roeder participated with Detective Chris Nelson and Lt. John Troncoso of the
12	Keizer Police Department (KPD) in the illegal interception of communications between Plaintiff and
13	Ms. Roeder in at least three separate events authorized by KPD and recorded in various police
14	supplements. The defendant(s) did not have an order/warrant to intercept as required by ORS
15	133.724(1) and 165.540(1)(d). The plaintiff was not notified of the interception by the defendant(s).
16	These illegal recordings were used in an indict plaintiff on multiple felonies in which the court
17	issued a bail of \$700,000. Plaintiff could not afford the exorbitant bail and was forced to remain
18	incarcerated for over 7 months in county jail in which he suffered the following losses: (1) Loss of
19	personal business ownership valued at \$1.5 million. (2) loss of income which has reached over
20	\$250,000. (3) Repossession of four financed vehicles. (4) Loss of equity in rental home \$36,000. (5)
21	Loss of future wages from business and equal share of sale of business ownership.
22	Detective Christopher Nelson initiated the illegal interception with Lt. John Troncoso's
23	approval. Both are employees of the KPD, a department of the City of Keizer.
24	
25	Claim II
26	State what right under the constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States has been violated.
27	Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution (unlawful search and seizure) and 14 ^h Amendment of the
28	U.S. Constitution right to Due Process.
29	
30	Supporting Facts:
31	Defendant(s), (Nelson, Troncoso, Avetisyan, KPD, City of Keizer) deprived plaintiff of his liberty and

1	property without due process by using the illegally obtained electronic communications to support their
2	application for search and seizure warrants and to establish probable cause to arrest plaintiff and seek
3	indictment. The police, without being issued a warrant for arrest or establishing exigent circumstances,
4	arrested plaintiff in his home by gunpoint. The defendant(s) supra additionally violated the court issued
5	search and seizure warrants for plaintiff's home by serving the warrant on a non-listed alternate address
6	in order to obtain plaintiff's cell phone. The defendant(s) violated a search and seizure warrant issued
7	for the data of the cell phone by obtaining and analyzing the data 5 months after the warrant expired, in
8	which they brought further charges and a conviction against plaintiff.
9	
0	V. RELIEF
1	Relief plaintiff is seeking:
12	Written apology by all defendants. Dismissal of Nelson and Troncoso from the Keizer P.D. For
3	misconduct. Punitive damages of Three million dollars and actual damages of 3 million.
4	
5	Signed this 6 day of June, 2017
6	•
17	Frim 7.
8	Signature of Plaintiff's
9	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	